Proj	ject Location:	Owner on Application:			
		Grading Plan Check #:			
desi	report form for a "minor" grading project is to be completed gnated on the Grading Plan and permit as the Engineer who ling permit issued by Planning & Developing Services.				
appr area calc with	intent of the format is to provide information to Planning & roved Grading Plan and Permit. Where the questions below as, it is understood that your response will not normally be ba ulations. It should be noted, however, that the Department is respect to over-steepened slopes, encroachments of require I placed differs substantially from that authorized.	refer to location, configuration or qua sed on an actual land survey or details particularly concerned where there ar	antity of cut a ed earthwork e possible ir	and/or fill quantity ofractions	
of m land that	nning & Development Services requires that all fills authorized naximum density with the exception that not more than 12" of parcel. The need to compact all fills that are beyond the prefuture proposed construction of room additions or swimming be removed or recompacted, or that extensive foundation wor	uncompacted and untested fills may be sent limits of the present proposed co pools or similar structures will not requ	be dispersed Instruction is	over the to insure	
Con	npaction reports will not be accepted unless this form is comp	leted and signed by the registered des	ign professio	onal.	
	HE ANSWERS TO ANY OF THESE QUESTIONS IS NO TH DINANCE.	ERE MAY BE A VIOLATION OF THE	COUNTY G	RADING	
A.	COMPATIBILITY WITH GRADING PLAN AND PERMIT				
1.	Was the compacted fill placed only in the approximate locati as areas to filled?	ons designated on the grading plan	YES 🗌	NO 🗌	
2.	Did the quantity of fill material placed conform to the grading	plan?	YES 🗌	NO 🗌	
3.	Did the toe of fill or the top of cut meet the prescribed propertus)?	erty line setback (1.5' for fill; 3.0' for	YES 🗌	NO 🗌	
4.	Were the finished fill slopes equal to or less than 2 horizonta	al to 1 vertical?	YES 🗌	NO 🗌	
5.	If the fill material was obtained by cuts on the site, were the proper slope approximately as shown on the approved gradient		YES 🗌	NO 🗌	
6.	Were brow ditches constructed approximately as shown on	the grading plan?	YES 🗌	NO 🗌	
В.	LOCATION AND AMOUNT OF COMPACTION TESTS				
1.	Have you attached a sketch and data showing the local compaction tests?	ation and relative elevation for all	YES 🗌	NO 🗌	
2.	Was a compaction test made so that there is at least compacted material?	one test in each 2' thick lens of	YES 🗌	NO 🗌	
3.	As indicated by inspections, observations and compaction the top 1.0', compacted to at least 90% of maximum dry den		YES 🗌	NO 🗌	

5510 OVERLAND AVE, SUITE 110, SAN DIEGO, CA 92123 • (858) 565-5920 • (888) 336-7553 HTTP://WWW.SDCPDS.ORG

PDS 073 REV.: 09/24/2012 PAGE **1** of **2**

C.	QUALITY OF FILL COMPACTION OPERATION						
1.	Was the area to receive fill properly prepared in terms of brush removal, benching, we removal of noncompacted fill or debris and related items?	etting,	YES 🗌	NO 🗌			
2.	Was all detrimentally expansive soil placed in the fill at 3' or more below finish grade?		YES 🗌	NO 🗌			
3.	Have you attached a copy of the compaction curve showing the relationship optimum moi content and maximum density?	isture	YES 🗌	NO 🗌			
4.	Was all material used as fill (earth, rocks, gravel) smaller than 12" in size?	,	YES 🗌	NO 🗌			
5.	Are all areas of the fill suitable for support of structures?	,	YES 🗌	NO 🗌			
6.	Were all <u>existing</u> fills on the site recompacted in accordance with the provisions of the grand-ordinance?	ading	YES 🗌	NO 🗌			
D.	STATISTICAL DATA						
1.	Dates the grading work was performed:						
2.	Dates your representative was on site and number of hours on site for each date, and name of representative:						
E.	AS-BUILT DATA						
1.			YES 🗌	NO 🗌			
2.	If the approved grading plan does not reflect the actual location, depth and type of fill, have submitted for review and approval an as-built plan?	e you	YES 🗌	NO 🗌			
F.	STORMWATER PROTECTION REQUIREMENTS		_	_			
1.	Have erosion control BMP's been placed in compliance with minimum County standards?		YES	NO 🗌			
2.	·		YES 📙	NO 🗌			
3.			YES 🗌	NO 📙			
4.	Are the disturbed area perimeter barriers in place?		YES 🗌	NO 🗌			
REM	MARKS:						
	ERTIFICATION ereby certify, under penalty of perjury, that the information provided in this certification is true	to the bes	t of mv kn	owledae			
	d belief.		,				
		Signature: Date: (To be signed and dated by a Registered Civil Engineer)					
	Registration or Certification Number:						
	Address:						
	Phone Number						